whey

go fully.

Sonum Margareta Moud. Autoris. gan: 27-1671.

REVIEW and CONCLUSION

Jo Auben.

OF THE

# ANTIDOTE

Agianst Mr. BAXTER'S

# Palliated Cure

a leid ( gO. E frank uffiel nach of ge

### CHURCH-DIVISIONS

WHEREIN

Mr. Baxter's late Repentance is examined.
All his Immodest Calumnies consuted,

AND

The Grounds of Separation further cleared.

By EDWARD BAGSHAW.

Ephraim is joyned to Idols: let bim alone. Hof. 4, 17.

If I build again the things which I destroyed, I make my self a transgressor. Gal. 2. 18.

London Printed in the Year



#### An Advertisement to the Christian Reader.

TO prevent all those Mistakes, and Misunderstandings which Mr. Baxter, in his late Answer, was guilty of: these are to certify that I did desire several Brethren (10, or 11. in number) to read diligently the following Treatise, and to examine the Quotations, as I have ested them out of Mr. Baxters printed Books, which they did, and have unanimously attested under their Hands, that I have been very careful, to repeat, not only his very words, but also (according to their best understanding) his true Meaning. This, I thought good to give thee notice of, Christian Reader, that thou mais be considered as I dare not, so I have not wronged Mr. Baxter, by any Wrested, False, or Mistaken Quotation.

3th M. 16th. 1671.

Edw. Bagshaw.



To Mr.

## Richard Baxter.

Mr. Baxter,

Otwithstanding your angry intimation, that you intended not to answer me, yet it was easie to fore-see ( and accordingly I told you ) that you would not keep your word; for I knew your Pride would put you upon writing, and your guilt would necessitate you to do it just in as unbecoming a manner as you have done; for an ill eaufe must be maintained by Galumny. It shall not therefore be any part of my concernment to return your unhandlom Language, but I am content to let you enjoy the priviledge of Railing alone: and if there be any of so easie a belief, as to take your word, and can imagin I would be so foolish as well as wicked, as in a matter of five or fix sheets of Paper, to publish four score untruths, I will not envy you such kind of partial Favourers, nor trouble my felf to rectifie their erronious apprehensions: only I shall desire all that will be at a little pains to confider things, to judge feriously betwirt us, and to determine impartially, whether that proud contempt and folly, which almost in every line of your last book accompanieth your expressions, doth not abundantly discover that your heart was never yet truly humbled, and consequently that Repentance you take occasion to mention meerly Hypocrytical and Pretended.

Without entring therefore into other By-matters, which are nothing to the Purpole of our main. Controversie, I must

bring you back to the Question as it was first designedly handled between us, and that is briefly this, [Whether Conformity at this day upon Conscientious grounds can be defended by any; or at least, with any kind of honesy contended for by your self.] This, Sir, is the thing I have enquired about, and I must keep you close to the stating of it; for as you Stand or Fall in the right handling of this, so will all your Reasonings appear, either Solid and Convincing, or else degenerate into a railing and needless impertinence.

Sir, Whoever goeth about to instruct the World (but especially the Churches of Christ) in such a Critical day as this, had need be very careful to give all possible satisfaction in two things: First, that he writeth something that is worth our knowing, and doth not abuse the patience and leisure of his Readers: Secondly, That he doth himself shew so much Stability and Stediness of judgement, as that he may not discredit his own work, by having that replyed upon him, Thou that teachest another, teachest thou not thy self? In both these particulars I have already provid that you are grossly Desective; For you plead altogether for an Unclean thing; and that is, for Conformity after a Covenanted for Reformation; and likewise (which is more absurd) this you doe after you have your self writ so much (and to speak

truth, fo well ) against all such kind of Communion.

Amongh other things that I charged upon you this was one. I faid, It became not you to blame the War, and the evil effects of it. with fo much bitterness: fince you were as Active an Inftrument in promoting of it, as any one what foever. This, Sir, notwithstanding your peremptory denyal, I must again confirm; For you do in your Holy Common wealth at large justifie and defend that War, YOU fay, you did encourage many thou fands to it, and that you thought when you engaged in it, you never did God that outward fervice as then: Put all which together, and they ferve to discover an Activity which very few did equal, scarce any could exceed: and it will be a very vain excuse to say or think you did but little, because perhaps fome others might do more; for the measure of every ones Afterity in a common cause, must be taken from that place which they fill up, which in your Capacity as a Preacher (especially if you fent in Souldiers by Thoufands ) you did as notably discharge as any of the Generals or Parliament Men, who would have fignified but little, had they not had fuch Chaplains to work upon Confeience: Litherefore wronged won not by afferting what you formerby did, but you much wrong the Truth and Goodness of your dwn cause, (if indeed you think you ever had it ) by seek-9 1.76

ing so meanly to finde out a Subter-fuge how you might dif-

guise and hide it.

I am not ignorant that you now tell me you Repent, (and you ought to thank me that I have been means to bring you to it ) but as if you had long agoe foreseen whether your Temptations were likely to hurry you, you have very feafonably forestalled and Antidoted, whatever ill use may be made of your present Profession of Repentance: Your words, because they are very memorable. I have taken pains verbatim to transcribe, as I find them in your Holy Common-wealth page 486, 487. I cannot fee. fay you, that I was mistaken in the main cause, nor dare I repent of it, nor forbear the same, if it were to do again in the same state of things: I should do all I could to prevent such a War, but if it could not be prevented, I must take the same side as then I did, and my judgement telleth me that if I should do otherwise, I should be guilty of Treason and Disloyaltie against the Sovereign power of the Land, and of perfidionsness to the Common wealth, &c. And you conclude yet more remarkably, It were too great folly by following Accidents that were then unknown, for me to judge of the former Caule. That which is Calamitous in the event, is not always sinful in the Enterprize: Should the change of times make one forget that state, that we were formerly in, and change ony judgement, by losing the sence of what then conduced to its Infortion, this folly and forgetfulness would be the way to a sinful, and not to an obedient Repentance. Thus ( as I have already told you) like Caiaphas, being High-Prieft for that Year, you Prophesied.

Sir, It is possible (for what may not a little Time, and thange of success produce in so variable an understanding) that you may once more Repent of your late Repentance; For you have given in such Evidence against your self, and laid down such convincing Arguments to make us belive your judgement is not yet altered (although your Passion and Interest is) that I must entreat every serious Reader, to judge, who hath dealt most candidly, You, or I, and from whom the greatest ingenuity is to be expected. For my own part, I desire to speak it without any bitterness, upon the most exact and impartial reflexion I can make, I cannot see but your Repentance, and Mr. Lee's Recantation may hereaster be bound up together in the same Volume,

and both be held of equal Credit and Authority.

For, Sir, to be ferius, do you think it can be looked upon as any tolerable degree of fatisfs ction, either to those whom you formerly

A 3 deceived

deceived by Preaching them into a Conscientious Disobedience or to those who were then ruined and oppressed by it, to tell them now twenty years after, fo flightly, that you are forry, and that you resent you had no more Impartially, and diligently consulted with the bell Lawyers, that were against the Parliaments cause, for you knew no Contraversie in Devinity about it, but in Politicks and Law. What, Sir? did you help on to Engage a Nation in Blood, to enter into a Covenant, Proclaim Fafts, make Solemn Apeals to God, and ver think nothing of Divinity in such a Cause? Oh poor deluded People of England! How have thy Preachers, thy Baxters, thy feeming Boanerges, caused thee to erre, and swallowed up the way of thy Paths? and after they have led thee into Deep Waters, left thee miserably plunged and floating there to save themselves in their own Cockboats? Sir, do you think you shall ever Preach with more Evidence, Warmeb, and Zeal any thing in your life, than you have already done the Necessity, Justice, and Lawfulnels of this War; and, that you may know I do not speak at random, particularly when at Glocester, you preached upon Curse ye Meroz: and now you fay you Repent, do you expect ever to be believed again? Did not you then think it vilible on which lide Christ and Religion stood? and did not you profess to see it in the year Fifty nine (but the year before the King came in ) and are you now become Blind ?O Rare Convert! What strange changes will love of ease and fear of suffering, produce in a Corrupt and Carnal understanding?

Sir, I am truly forry I am forced to speak thus plainly, but Zeal for the Glory of God which you have obscured; Love to that Cause of Christ, and Non-conformity, which you have deserted; and earnestness of affection to the Souls of my Countrey men, whom you have abused; hath filled me with s just indignation: And pray do not think it sufficient to tell me as you do, that you Retrast your Book; For such childish Levity doth not at all alter Matters of Fast, but leaveth things still as they formerly were, and discovereth you only to be deeplier plunged in the guilt of

Unfetledness and instability.

And certainly, Sir, had you ever had patience, and allowed your self Time to read over what you have formerly writ, you would have found out some better Argument to employ your later in, than to perswade the World to Conformity now, with those very Men, Way, and Things which (not a year before their establishment among us) you have thrown so much dire upon, that the very remembrance of them is fall loathsome to us.

Have

Have you forgot, Sir, that when the Bishops were down, and their calamity might have moved your pity, you then trampled upon them, and in great fcorn upbraidingly told them, We fee Five Difp. that most of the ungodly in the land, are the forwardest for your vernmens ways, you may have almost all the Blasphemers, and ignorant haters prin. An. of godliness in the Country to vote for you, and if they durst again to 1659. Defight for you at any time; and again. I know that the common fenfe dicated to of most that are serious in Practical Christiainity is against your for Richard of most that are serious in Francical Constituting is against your Cromwel mal ways of worship, and the Spirit of Prophaness complieth with you, Protector. and doteth on you in all places that ever I was acquainted wish ; pref. p. 17. with much more railing Language to the fame purpose . And when you do purposely argue upon this subject [ Whether it be necessary page 31. or profitable for the right order or peace of the Churches, to restore the extruded Episcopacy ] you do with much firength and vehemency handle the Negative, and among feveral other Arguments, you orge this for one: That Government which graifieth the Devil page 36. and wisked men is not to be restored under any pretence of the Order or Feace of the Church; But such was the English Episcopacy; therefore, &c. And in Explication of the Minor, you enlarge very Emphacically, Who knoweth not, fay you, for it cannot be denyed, that the generality of the Ruble of ignorant Persons, World. lings, Drunkards, Haters of Godliness are very zealous for Episcopacy. Whilst multitudes of truly Conscientions people have been againstit; And whoknoweth not that they fetch both their chief Motives from Experience? The ungodly found that Bishops let them keep their sins and troubled them not with this precisenss; but rather drove away the Precise Preachers and People, whom they abhorred; and those that distinced Episcopacy, did it principally on the same Experience, observing they befriended the wicked, as least by preserving them from the due Redof Discipline.

Sir we must needs say we stand amazed, how it is possible, you should so soon and so much forget all that you have said, and break through the bonds of such convincing Arguments; For our parts, we, that want your easiness and slexibility, are frighted at them, and dare not for our lives meddle with any thing, in the worship of God, which such a man as you hath already told us tends to gratifie the Devil and wicked men. And though we have many other weighty Arguments, yet in this matter we are satisfied with your Authority, and are content to take your word; and it as you say the Governm no and No Discipline of the Bishops hath such a danegrous in fluction, we must by withdrawing our Communion, declare both again still seither; and I am considers they

page 327,

328.

peal to any moderate man of the Episcopal perswasion, if they be indeed such kind of persons as you have represented them, whether they ought not immediately to be folken and forborn as to any Acts of Church Communion: We think we need not spend many words in so clear a case, for you have already decided it for us; with much Triumphing and scorn you thus insulted formerly over the Bishops, and laid the foundation of all that which we now Conscientiolly practice; "I tell you, said you, that which "I suppose you know, That as free a toleration of Prælacy in "England as there is of Presbytery were the likelyest way to "bring you into perpetual contempt, For we cannot but know, "that besides a few civil engaged Gentlemen, Ministers, and others, your main body would consist of those, that for their "notorious impiety, scandal or ignorance, are thought unmeet "for Church Communion by others, and that when you came to "exercise discipline on them, they would hate you and flee from you, as much as ever they did from Puricans; and if you did indulge them, and not reform them or cast them out, your Church "would be the concempt of the fober part of the World; and "your own fober members would quickly relinquish it for thane; "For the Ghurch of England ( if you would needs be so called ) "would be taken for the fink of all the other Churches in England; We need fay no more, for whatever may be pleaded to the contrary by others ( with whom at present we are not disputing ) yet as to your felf ( with whom our contraversie is ) I think no lober person, but will say we are sufficiently farnished with Arguments to answer the uttermost you can alledge. For to fall in with that which you call fink of all the other Churches, to joyn with those in Worship, who are, as you say, the contempt of the fober part of the World, and whom all their fober Members are to relinquish for shame, this must needs be an unjustifiable as well as an unbecoming practice. And therefore do not diffurb us any more, bur let us alone, if you can, to follow that Light in this matter which you your felf once had, and give us leave to tell you, that to the best of our understanding, your present Light is nothing else but the confusion of Darkness.

You may perhaps Object (though we wonder you should do it) that were the matter wholly left to our own liberty, you could not advise such Communion as a thing of choice, but that which you call Authority (or Magistracy) interposing in the case, we are no longer left free to our own dispose, but must be obedient in things

 $In \perp \mathcal{F}$ 

Indifferent for Conscience Sake ; we will not reply how much that Romans 13. (upon which you lay the stress of your Argument ) hath been abused already by wresting it to other cases, but yet we hope (whatever others do ) you will not forget that very Text hath been urged by your felf ( no longer fince then the year 1659. ) to justifie your tiding with the Parliament against the King, VVhen, say Holy Com! you, the Parliament commanded us to obey, and not resist wealthy. them; I knew not how to resist and disobey them, without 477the violation of that command of God, Romans 13. Let every soul be subject unto the Higher Power, &c. and without incurring the danger of that Condemnation there threatned to Resisters; and I think none doubteth but that command obligeth us to obey the Senate as well as the Emperor. Sir, You may eatily fee what I could, if I pleased, and were malicous, inter from hence, but I spare you, and without preffing that, I shall only say that you have already in another place discharged us, from any Conscientious subjection to Mens Commands in the Things of God upon the account of that Text. For you say well and truly, that If First Diff. Men who have no Authority over us shall pretend Authori- P. 457. ty from God, and go about to exercise it by Geremonious Impositions, we have the more reason to scruple obeying them even in things indifferent; lest we be guilty of establishing their usurpation and pretended office in the Church, and so draw on more evils than we foresee or can remove; All the difference then between you and us (if indeed there be any) lieth in the point of Authority, Which we say plainly, that none upon Earth ( no not an Angel from Heaven) hath over us in the Things of God, that whole power being incommunicably given to our Lord Christ, who keepeth it in his own hands, manageth it with his own Laws, directeth and influenceth it by his own Spirit, and hath not by any Commission that we know of invested the Rulers of this VVorld with it; so that though you lightly quir, yet we lee cause still to keep our ground; For we dare not yeild, no not for a Moment, nor ftir in a Circumstance from afferting that Libertie, which we are commanded to Maintain, lest we forfeit our Lords Right, Partake in other mens sins, and losing our hold, never be able to recover it again, when we shall gave occasion to oppose

some new and yet unheard of Imposition.

There remaineth but one Argument more in this whole Dispute, and that is taken from Idolatry; Which we say all Devised Worship is a Species of; and even this, though you do with much feeming earnestness declaim against it. yet it is apparent, you had some Glimmerings of Light about: For after you had urged many Arguments to prove the unreasonableness and sinfelness of Prescribing Forms of Prayer, Preaching, &c. you conclude in these Words, Five Difp. " It none of thele, or other Reasons will allay the Impepage 378. " rious Dutemper of the Proud, but they must by an U-" surped Legislation be making indifferent things become " necessary to others, and Domineer over Mens Conscien-" ces and the Churches of God, we must leave them to him, " that being the Lord and Lawgiver of the Church, is jea-" lous of his Prerogative, and abhorreth Idols, and will " not give his Glory to another: Which expression of Abborring Idols, why you should use, had you not taken every Humane Imposition to be a kind of Idol. we cannot Imagine: And therefore pray give us leave to tumme up all together, and to fay freely this, that had we but little to lay upon this Argument in answer to others, yet we have enough for ever to filence you; and withil we profels, that though we do not therefore follow that which we

take to be the Truth in this Quedion, because you once afferted it before us; yet we will not therefore previshly distain and reject it, because you have unworthily recaded from it; but rather we thankfully own the goodness of God, and admire the great force of Fruth, that you should heretofore be stirred up to write to much (and of which you have not (that we know of) yet Repented) by which you stand your self Self condensed, and that Cause which

you so eage ly oppose, solly and compleatly justified.
I might here End, for I want your Wordy faculty, and my purpose being meetly to conduct you, this is already so fully done, that I need not it you're noon this Subject, But your boid, and little less than sesticistical arguing against the Distine and self evidencing almostrey of the Holy scripture, required I should these something to it: The Position which you lay down is directly contrary to the Doctrine of all our Ancient Protestant Divines, and par-

le

1-

ve

of

5,

6-

J.

10

n-

n,

3-

II

b-

e-

ot

H

0

10

10

90

y

17

h

2

d

;

y

c

1-

ticularly to that of the First Reformers, and besides is the very Foundation of the Roman ( that is of the Antichrisian Church: For you lay plainly (as Andradius, Scapleton, Bellarmine, and others , the worst 'Defenders of the Trent pag. 188. Council do ) that the Silf evidencidg Light of Scripture is not sufficient, without humane Help and Testimony to make us know every Canonicall Book from the Apocryphal, &c. And you instance Particularly in solomon's Proverbs, unto Sab.p. 61. which our Saviour himself and his Apostles have witnessed as to a Divine Writing: And besides in defence of this. you urge an Argument, of a more impious sense and sound, than ever I read in any but your felf; And I think that Bellarmine was modeft, and but a Triffer to you; "M1- Sab.p.63. " homer, say you, and his followers ( more numerous &c. " than the Chriftians ) pretend that Mahomets name was in "Gospel of John, as the Paraclete or Comforter, promi-" fed by Christ, and that the Christians have blotted it " out, and altered the Writings of the Gospel: and how " shall we disprove them but by Historical evidence: To which I shall only reply in short, that if matters between them and us must be brought to this iffue, Altum eft; We have nothing substantial to plead, and it is not Ged's but Man's Word that must be taken, we having no certain nor infallible xpilifin left us, to difcern and know the voice of Scripture by ; I might urge what Whitaker , Chamier , and others have replyed, but I shall content my felf with what I find briefly, yet fully flated, by that Judicious and Weightie Writer Mr. Hildersham, whose name in other cases (particularly that of Conformity where you think he maketh for you ) you pretend great Reverence to. Indeed the Testimony of the Church, faith he, doth first encline us to think that the Scripture is the Word of God, and maketh us willing to hear and read it; but after we by hearing and reading it attain to further certainty and affurance: " For John 4. "God himself speaketh to us in the Scripture, Luke 1.79. Lest. 76. " Hebrews I. I. and that so clearly and evidently, that the " Faithful are undoubtedly affured that it is he that speak-" eth. that it is indeed his Word; and they can fay, as " Cant. 2. 8. It is the voice of my beloved. This is pro-" miled to the Faithful , Ifa. 52. 6. They shall know in e' that day, that I am be that speaketh, behold it is I; and

"If the Dollrine, whether it be of God, or whether I speak of my self; And indeed if we could not now be undoubtedly certain, that is indeed Gods Word, the Case of the Church were worse now, than it was when God fpake to his people in Visions and Dreams; For they were without any external Testimony from Men, fully assured then, that it was the Lord himself indeed that spake unto them; Nay it is Certain that we may be more sure that God speaks to us in his Word, then they could be of his speaking unto them in Visions, 2 Peter 1. 19. We have also a more sure Word of Prophesic.

Thus far that worthy Writer, who afferteth no more in substance, than what is the unanimous judgement of Calvin, Luber, and all our Ancient Protestant Divines; and I wonder while you tax another of Falshood, you should venture thus to reproach their Memory, as if they held with you; when not one of them, but some sew bold Iunovators of late (like your self) did ever affert that Opinion; which overthroweth add razeth to ground the whole-Protestant cause, as tending wholly to enthrone Tradition, and to advance the Authority of Men in the Things of God:

page 16.

I know you bear your felf very high upon what you have already writ in defence (as you call it) of Scripure; And you do infolently tell us. I know of no man living in this age that hath written so much (1 say not so well) for the things in Question, Scripture and Christianity as I have done: To which Boast I reply. First, somehave written large Books, and pretended great earnestness for many things, on purpose that they might attaque and set upon them afterwards with greater advantage; Thus Casar Vanins wrote a very learned Book against Atheism, though it was his own prosessed and avoiwed Impiety, in defence of which afterwards he desperatly died. Secondly, give me leeve to ask you, To what purpose is all this VVastes or who hath required this at your Hands? And why do

ak

be

1C

be

ey

ly

at

be en

,

0 -

in

in,

1

nth

U-

i-

le-

,

of

ou

p-

an

So

ty

ne-

fs

ıd

us

n,

in

e ?

o OH

vou undertake a Needles, as well as an Impessible Task? That is to reconcile Religion to Natural Reason? to bring down the Things of God unto the understanding of Man; which is in effect to fay you do not believe them to be Tremendous Mysteries. Thirdly, Me thinks you should be frighted by the dreadful falls of those pretendedly Rational men that went this way before you, from following after their bold and daring Example. Socious, a man of no little Reason, proceeded to far as to deny the Divinity of Christ; And Gretius, who wrote as learnedly on the Truth of Christian Religion as any, yet himfelt died of none, or it as you say he died a Papift, it Pref. to was worse than none: So that I may say of these Ri-five Diff. tional Arguings, what the Apostle hath concerning meats, They have not profited those that walked in them. Lally, Heb. 13.9. This I must tellibe from my own Experience, and leave it as a warning to all, in whom the Irch of curious Enquiry is not yet healed, that had not I learn'd the Truth of Christian Religion from better A guments, and a more Certain way of Reasoning than any your Books afford, I had still been plunged in the depths of Athoism; and I look upon your whole Discourse about the Na-. ture, Being, and Decrees of God, the Immortality of the Soul, and I be reward of the Life to come ( which you pretend to found upon Watural Reason) to be fo far from the Cogency of Demonstration, and the Evidence of Rational Light, that such kind of Discourses serve much rather to Teach, then to preserve from Unbelief, while they give Corrupt Reason leave to argue, and justifie it felt, against that which is accounted the weakness and simplicity of believing. I look upon it as found Doctrine, and so I held it long before I read it in Mr. Hildersham, " That this glory is due to Christ and to "nim alone to believe him upon his Word; even John 4. " without the Testimony or Authority of any man, left. 32. " vea though we see no Reason for it, yea though it " feem never fo contrary to our own Reason: we must " in this case be-like Pythagoras his Schollars, and so rest in that aulos imit; when once we hear Thus saith " the Lord, this mult suffice us inflead of all Reasons,,

c' here we must rest and satisfie our selves. This is called the Obedience of Faith, Rom. 16. 26. Yea this " is the first and chief Obedience that God requi-" reth of us: The first work of his Grace in us is " to subdue our Reason, 2 Cor. 10. 5. Till we have " attained to this simplicity, we shall never be wife " unto Salvation; nor come to any comfortable Cer-" taintie in the matters of Religion. The same wor-" thy Author goeth on, this ferves, faith he, to re-" prove the Disputer of this World, of whom the A-" postle speaks I Cor . I. 20. Where isthe Disputer of this "VVorld? fuch as will receive no more in Religion " then they can see Reason for, Scripture will not " ferve their turn, they must have Reason; But, faith " he, it is a dangererous thing not to relt in the Au-" thority of the Scriptures, not to count it all'aison; " For there be many truths of God revealed in the "Word, which are such Misteries, as it is not possible " for Man by Reason and by Light of Nature to con-" ceive; Nay, indeed the whole Doctrine of the Gof-" pel is fo, I Cor. 2. 7. 1 Tim. 3. 16. Yea, the more " a man excelleth in Natural Reason and Understanding, the more unable shall he be to conceive them, Rom. ee 8.7. The VV sdom of the Flesh is enmity to God: It " is God alone that by the supernatural Light of his " Spirit, revealeth those things, Mat. 16. 17. And God will reveal it to none but to those that are Meek and "Humble; to none that have fuch high conceit of them-" felves, and attribute so much to their own Reason, " Pfalm 25. 9. The Meek will be teach his way. I have at large repeated this passage of that truly judicious and excellent Writer, because he speaketh suitably both to Scripture, and to what every Person truly enlightened can witness to; But to shew how much you differ, both from him and others of our foundest Divines in this point, and to make a full discovery of your spirit and temper, I shall compare it with a quite contrary pasthe fige, which some years ago I read, and much wondered at in your felf. " \* I know there is a fort of over-" wife, and overdoing Divines, who will tell their fol-

ce lowers in private, where there is none to contradict " them, that the Method of this Treatise is perverse, as " appealing too much to Natural Light, and over-valuing " Humane Renson: and that I should have done no more but briefly tell men, that all that which God speaks " in his word is true, and that Propria Luce it is evi-" dent that the Scripture is the Word of God, and " that to all Gods Elect he will give his Spirit, to caule "them to discern it, and that thus much alone had been " better than all these Disputes and Reasonings; But " these Over-wiselmen who need no Reason for their Re-" ligion and judge accordingly of others, and think that " those men who rest not in the Authority of Jesus " Christ, should rest in theirs, are many of them so well " acquainted with me as not to expect that I should " trouble them in their way or Reason against them-- as much as I am addicted to scribling, " I can quietly difmis this fort of men without the La-" bour of opening their ignorance. Thus you, who are, if compared to them, but a Rash and Insolent Seribler, because you have nothing to do, but are at leisure to be a Voluminous Trifler, dare with your breath blow upon, and feek to blaft the Credit of all these worthy weighty Writers ( whom you call Over-wife, and Over-doing Divines ) such as Calvin, Preston, Hildersham, Perkins, &c. whom I quoated before, as if they were all but Bubbles for you to play with, and blow up and down at vour pleasure; but their Name, and Doerine shall live and flourish, when yours shall wither, as not being able to endure the Fiery Tryal; So far therefore, as I can by Entreaties or Example prevail with any, I do earnestly warn all persons truly fearing God, that they be not deceived by Names, nor take any thing upon trust from a commonly Received and Mistaken Reputation: For if I understand any thing of the true nature of Religion, your writings do contain that secret Leaven, which will sowre and infect the Mind of an unwary Reader, with such Errontous Apprehensions, and Mis-corceits of the Truth, that nothing but a new and thorough Conversion will be able to recover them; For laying

laying your Foundation in the Corrupt Will of Man. and building your Superstructure in the Carnal Under-Randing, you leave no room for true Holiness and Morsification; but the Root of fin which lieth within, remaineth untouched, and Carnal Presumition, or at the belt Doubling (if not Despair) will be the utmost that such Principles can possibly end in, or lead unto: I shall therefore here leave off, and for the present (if not for ever ) end any farther Controverlie with you. as being one of whom I cannot fay ( what you do of me after all your virulence ) that I am upright in the Main; For I hold you are altogether Rotten and Unfound in the Main, and to much the more incurable in that you have greatly finned, and turned Scorner, fince your Admonition.

Their being my most ferious thoughts of all your Works, I do willingly pass by lesser Matters, which yet afford me sufficient ground of Exception, such are-

First, Your Absurd, and Insignificant way of speak-

ing, although I have already reproved you for it; as when you talk of a Rash and Carolesty uttered Untruth, which is Privatively voluntary ( that is where the VVill omits its office ) Where I am much to feek, what can be meant by Privatively voluntary, or how any action can be done where the Will omits its office. Adde to this, your difcourse of a receiving Obediential Power in a Carnal VVIII. which receiving Power you call a Paffive Power: where the Comment and Explication is much harder than the Text. Laftly, To urge no more your faying that by Corruption and imposition barely seemeth to be meant such Formaliter quoad Actum without including the degree of the Matter. Sir, Thefe, and the like expressions, though you and the Schools call them Distinctions, yet indeed are no-

> thing else but Learned Non Sence; Which although they may not other wife have much hurr in them, yet they are

> > thole

those Big swelling words of Vanity, which are the usual Language of Falle Teachers, and one of the Marks which is fore-told by which they may be known, 2 Pet. 2.

Secondly, I might except against your scornful trisling with things, that are most sacred, and serious; as when you say, I am verily perswaded if Christ came perso-page 57: nally and visibly to demand it, the King himself would yeild up his Crown to him; and I am as verily perswaded the Turk or Pope would do so too; which yet at present I do not look upon as any great commendation to either of them: And besides, had ever the Terror of that Day of the Lord sell upon you, and did you in spirit believe and apprehend how dreadful that Appearance would be, you would not have spake so like those Mockers, who deride the Promise of his coming.

Thirdly, I might justly blame your scarce Tolerable Sophistry, and Fallacious way of arguing, fir only for Boyes and Children; as when you pretend to know no difference in point of Imposition, between one that useth a Form of his own, and he that is Imposed upon to use page 119, always the Form of another: Whereas, in the one case, the hearer is at perfect libertie how far and how often he will joyn; In the other he is always tied up, and must either joyn in such a Prescript Form of Words or none at all: and this he knoweth before-hand, which make the difference vastly Disproportionable.

Lastly, Give me leave to wonder, since the Apostle maketh it so dangerous a sign of a proud distempered mind to dote about Questions, I Tim. 6. how it comes to pass that you to strangely delight in asking of them, especially in matters where Faith alone must give the last Solution; and therefore to all your twenty bold Quaries about the Scripture, take this general Asswer that when you have satisfied me you did not sin greatly in raising such Miss and Doubts about them, and when you can give me security that you will not be asking me twenty Questions more, I will endeavour your satisfaction.

C

I conclude therefore, with this Short but True Charatterof your felf and your late work, that you have writ neither with that Gravity, which became your Age; with that Sobriety, which became your Profession; nor that Modelly which became any tolerable Education; and fince you fo much forget your felf, I must tell you, that that Person, whom you so insolently despise, is ( to say no more ) that your beft, your Equal: but what he reckoneth he might Glory in, as some of his outward Advantages above you, he willingly waveth, as chuling rather to glory in this, as God hath chosen him a Poor despised Publican ( as one born out of due time ) together with those Women and Boyes whom you contemn, to cry Hofanna to the Lords Christ, and to bear witness unto that very Truth, and at that very time of the Day, when you a Learned Scribe and Pharifee (under the Notion of Repenting) have most unworthily betrayed and deferted it.

Farewel.

From my Prison by Newgate, 9th m. 4th An. 1671.

Edward Bagshaw.

of ·

n, at ht ou, as

ry fee.



A

## POST-SCRIPT

CONTEINING

# An ADVERTISEMENT to the

N Answer to Mr. Baxters Post script, I have this to I say briefly, That I have read and considered those Holy Com. Words of his, The Lord Protector did Prudently, Piously, wealth. Faithfully to bis Immortal Honour, exercise the Govern. Pct ment; And I ftill think that Mr. Baxter could not be ferious, if he meant them of Richard Cromwell, who lost the Government, before he was well setled in it, and never did any thing (that I have heard of ) to deserve so large a Character: But since Mr Baxter is pleased to affirm that he intended him, I shall not be Critical in another mans words, but take it for granted that indeed it was fo; But then I shall desire to be savisfied in two things, First, what is become of Mr. Baxters Repentance; For when I urged that passage to him, as spoken of Oliver he tells me in one part of his Book, I thank you for calling me to review those page 47. words, and do freely declare that I do take them to be unmeet, and that I do unfeignedly Repent of them; and yet in the Conclusion he complaineth that he too rash-

ly beleived me; For upon perusal he finds it most Not rious that he spake it not of Oliver but of his Son; at which he wipeth his Mouth and thinks all is very well; But may not this be expected as likely to be the iffue of all Mr. Baxter's other Repentance, that upon second thoughts he will complain he was too rash and hasty in it. Secondly, I would fam how by what Propriety of speech, he can affirm as he doth that Oliver Cromwell was quilty of most Perficious Treason and Rebellion. and he himself an unquestionable Surper; and yet own and allow his Son to be a Pigus, Prudent, Faithful Governour? Was not Richard his Fathe & Successor, and did not he succeed him in his Sinful Usurpation? and can Mr. Baxter continue to justifie such words (which must be accounted either down right railing against the one, or fordid Flattery to the other) and yet hold him-

felt Innocent?

As for the Quant of Allegiance, which I am in Prifor refuling, and Mr. Baxter I perceive pleads for. and would fain engage all the Non-Conformists to be Defenders of, I must needs say, that if I understood that Oath in no stricter a sense, and had as many Di-Binttions, to elude the true meaning and force of ir, as Mr. Baxter hath lately found out about the Covenant I might perhaps be induced to take it too; But I bless God, I dare, not Trifle with Oaths; For I look upon one that is once deliberately Sworn to be alwayes Arielly Obliged; and where the Name of God is used to make a Tye facred. I think that Reverence is due to it, that we are not afterwards to mince Matters, but bound to go unto the upoft Latitude, that the words will bear. for the Advantage of the Party unto whom we are Sworn: This being my fense of every Oath, I must take leave freely to profess that in this of Allegiance. I do not understand the meaning of Crown and Dignity, for if those words lignific ( as I verily believe they do) The Laws in being ( for to both Sr. Orlando Bridgeman. and Dr. Sanderson have interpreted them ) then I cannot swear to Defend them; For some of those Laws

Not

n; at

well;

e islue

fecond

hafty

roprie-

Crom-

ellion;

il Go-

and and

which

off the

Pri-

s for,

rftood

y Di-

ir, as

bles

upon

rictiv

make, that

ind to

bear.

ve are

must

riance,

gnity.

7 do)

eman.

can-

Laws

(and

(and particularly those about Conformity) I daily break, and which is more, think I am bound in Conscience to do so: And though I should erre and be mistaken in the Grounds of such a Practice, yet every one knoweth, and Dr. Sanderson hath fully stated it, that even an Erronious Conscience doth alwayes oblige not to do any thing against the Distates of it. More I could say, but two Arguments against this Oath I have already public by urged at the Bar, in which I have not yet received any satisfaction.

One is that I am required to fay, VV hich Oath I acknowledge by good and full Authority to be Lawfully and ministred to me; which I am so far from being able to swear, that on the contrary, I am fully satisfyed, this Oath was to me most unlawfully administred: The design and end of the Oath (which was to discover and to suppress Popish Recusants) and many other Legal Circumstances having not been at all observed.

Another Exception which I urged was, that I am to fay, This Recognition I make keartily, willingly, &c. Which word Willingly being then under an undue force (deteined a Prisoner after I was acquitted by a Jury) and to take that Oath as the Condition of obteining my Liberty (unto which I would directly he would directly he would directly he would be some in the condition of obtaining with title would directly he would directly he would be some in the condition of obtaining with the world directly he would directly he would directly he would be some in the condition of obtaining with the world directly he would directl

These were some of my Reasons, which I pleaded at the Barr, and whatever Mr. Baxter thinks of them (who undervalueth most mens judgement but, his own) yet they are still of weight to me, and at that time they were judged so considerable by those that heard them, that the Justice who passed Sentence upon me, said publickly in the hearing of many Witnesses, That he did not doubt, but I refused the Oath out of Conscience and from a good Principle; wherein, though he did ill to condemn one whom he judged Innocent, yet he was more just and Gene-

Generous then Mr. Baxter, who calleth me Brother, and yet useth me as Edom did Ifrael; not fearing to adde what weight he can to make my Bonds heavier; and fo far as his Cenfure can prevail, feeking to leave me without the common Relief of Calamity, Pity. But the Lord will certainly judge between him and me, with whom I leave my Caufe, and the Issue of this whole Controversie; who hath already in his Word, and will in due time by his Works decide, who are most to be approved for Integrity, whether those who are content to endure the utmost Extremity, rather than deny the Truth, or depart from a well taken up Refolution; or fuch who are, like Eseds, shaken with every Wind, and scruple not to change their Judgement, For, and Against things, as the stream of outward success doth guide and influence them. There being no other visible Reason why Mr. Baxter should at this day, professhis Repentance, for what he so stiffly held and defended before, but only that Argument of the by the Cross of Christ, in which yet, as the Apostle did, so

Gal. 6.10. Tewish Conformists of old, That he may not be Persecuted every True Christian ought to Glory.

